

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/422,758	10/21/1999	CHARLES C. PACKHAM	11223/002001	5962
75	90 01/31/2002			
ERIC L PRAHL FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			GOODMAN, CHARLES	
BOSTON, MA	021102804		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/422,758	PACKHAM ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable in the sapplication of the sapplication of the sapple in the sap	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the statutory period of extensions of the statutory of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent by the mailing date of this Adventure of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate tee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 January 2002</u>. 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19,38,39,42,43,46,47 and 50-56</u>	.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See attached</u>	Cha	alle for	for the same of th
		111 3271	,

Application/Control Number: 09/422,758

Art Unit: 3724

ñ

RE: ADVISORY ACTION

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed January 14, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed January 14, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(i). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the references cited in the above noted deficient IDS may be used as a basis for double patenting in future prosecution of the instant application since it appears from a cursory review of these references that they belong to the same inventive entity.
- 4. It is noted that Applicant allege that substitute sheets of drawings have been filed with the After Final Amendment. However, none are in the file.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

Art Unit: 3724

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Charles Goodman Patent Examiner

AU 3724

cg // January 30, 2002